

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

Appeal No. 51/2017

Mrs. Vandana R. Naik,
H.No. 399,
Krishna Tara Building,
Shantinagar , Margao Goa

.....Appellant

V/s.

1. Public Information Officer
Directorate of Education,
Porvorim Goa.

2. First Appellate Authority,
Directorate of Education,
Porvorim Goa.

..... Respondents

CORAM:

Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner

Filed on: 2/5/2017

Decided on: 19/09/2017

ORDER

1. The appellant , Mrs Vandana Naik has filed the present appeal praying the information as requested by the appellant in her application dated 19/08/16 be furnished to her correctly.
2. The brief facts leading to the present appeal are as under :-
That the appellant, vide her application , dated 19/8/16 addressed to the public information officer (PIO) of the office of the Directorate of Education Porvorim ,Goa requested to furnish the certain information at points No. 1 to 7 as stated therein in the said application.
3. The application of the appellant dated 19/8/16 was responded by respondent No. 1 PIO on 4/10/16,wherein the information at point No. 4,5 and 6 was provided. Point No. 1 2& 3 were transferred to the PIO of Aided Higher Secondary School having

vocational stream with a request to provide the said information and at point No. 7 it was informed to the appellant that the said information was not available with them.

4. Being not satisfied with the reply of Respondent PIO the appellant preferred first appeal on 21/10/2016 before the Director of Education being first appellate authority who is the Respondent No.2 herein .
5. The Respondent No.2 FAA, by an order ,dated 21/11/16 disposed the said appeal by upholding the say of the PIO that information have been already provided to the appellant.
6. Being aggrieved by the action of both the respondents, the appellant approached this commission on 22/2/17 by way of second appeal filed u/s 19(3) of the RTI Act on the grounds as raised in the memo of appeal.
7. In pursuant to the notice of this commission Appellant appeared along with Advocate Saish Mhambre. Respondent No. 1 PIO Shri Ishwar Patil was present. Respondent No. 2 FAA was represented by Shri Dayanand Chavedkar.
8. In the course of the present proceedings it was submitted by the Advocate for the appellant that the information furnished to him at point No. 6 and 7 are not to their satisfaction as such the PIO Shri Ishwar Patil undertook to file appropriate reply and to furnish the additional information pertaining to point no. 6 and 7 and accordingly on 19/09/2017 furnished the same to the appellant .
9. On verification of the same ,the advocate for the appellant then submitted that with the said information his requirement are fully satisfied and as such has got no grievance against PIO and prayed for disposal of the present appeal. Accordingly appellant endorsed her say on the memo of appeal.
10. In view of the submissions and endorsement made by the appellant , I find no reason to proceed with the matter.

Appeal disposed accordingly . proceedings stands closed.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Sd/-

(Ms.**Pratima K. Vernekar**)
State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission,
Panaji-Goa

Ak/-